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Functional linear model with dependent dependent regressors
Seven functional time series observations

(1440 measurements per day)

The horizontal component of the magnetic field measured in one minute resolution at Honolulu magnetic observatory from 1/1/2001 00:00 UT to 1/7/2001 24:00 UT.
Functional time series can be transformed to stationary series (removal of trend, differencing, ad hoc)
Autoregressive dependence:

Three weeks of a time series derived from credit card transaction data. The vertical dotted lines separate days.
Definition

The sequence \( \{X_n\} \) of functions in \( L^2 \) is \( L^4 - m \)-approximable if it admits the representation

\[
X_n = f(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \ldots),
\]

where the \( \varepsilon_i \) are iid elements in a measurable space \( S \), and \( f \) is a measurable function \( f : S^\infty \rightarrow L^2 \).

Let \( \{\varepsilon'_i\} \) be an independent copy of \( \{\varepsilon_i\} \), set

\[
X_n^{(m)} = f(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-m+1}, \varepsilon'_{n-m}, \varepsilon'_{n-m-1}, \ldots)
\]

Main Condition:

\[
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( E\|X_m - X_n^{(m)}\|^4 \right)^{1/4} < \infty.
\]
Discussion

The main condition depends only on

$$\nu_4 \left( X_0 - X_0^{(m)} \right) = \left( E ||X_0 - X_0^{(m)}||^4 \right)^{1/4}$$

$$\{\varepsilon_k^{(n)}, k \in Z \}$$ independent copy of $$\{\varepsilon_k, k \in Z \}$$

Sequences $$\{\varepsilon_k^{(n)}, k \in Z \}$$ are independent

$$X_n^{(m)} = f(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-m+1}, \varepsilon_{n-m}^{(n)}, \varepsilon_{n-m-1}^{(n)}, \ldots)$$

For each $$m$$, the sequence $$\left\{ X_n^{(m)}, n \in Z \right\}$$ is $$m$$ dependent.

$$X_0^{(2)} = f(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_{-1}, \varepsilon_{-2}^{(0)}, \varepsilon_{-3}^{(0)}, \ldots)$$

$$X_2^{(2)} = f(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1^{(2)}, \varepsilon_0^{(2)}, \varepsilon_{-1}^{(2)}, \ldots)$$
An alternative definition

\[ X_n^{(m)} = f^{(m)}(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-m+1}) \]

For each \( m \), the sequence \( \{X_n^{(m)}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \) is \( m \)-dependent.

This \( X_n^{(m)} \) does not have the same distribution as \( X_n \) (extra line in proofs.)

Functions \( f^{(m)} \) very natural in examples, e.g.

\[
\begin{align*}
    f^{(m)}(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-m+1}) &= f(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-m+1}, 0, 0, \ldots)
\end{align*}
\]

This definition produces a (theoretically) narrower class.
Example: AR(1)

\[ X_n(t) = \int \psi(t, s)X_{n-1}(s)ds + \varepsilon_n(t), \]

\[ X_n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi^j(\varepsilon_{n-j}), \quad (||\psi|| < 1) \]

\[ X_n^{(m)} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \psi^j(\varepsilon_{n-j}) + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \psi^j(\varepsilon_{n-j}). \]

or

\[ X_n^{(m)} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \psi^j(\varepsilon_{n-j}) \]

\[ \nu_4(X_m - X_m^{(m)}) \leq (2) \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} ||\psi||^j \nu_4(\varepsilon_0) \]

\[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \nu_4(X_m - X_m^{(m)}) \leq O(1) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} ||\psi||^m < \infty, \]
Example: bilinear model

\[ X_n(t) = U_n Y_n(t) \]

\( \{U_n\} \) independent of \( \{Y_n\} \).

\[ X_n^{(m)}(t) = U_n^{(m)} Y_n^{(m)}(t) \]

Example: Functional ARCH

\[ y_k(t) = \varepsilon_k(t) \sigma_k(t), \]

where

\[ \sigma_k^2(t) = \delta(t) + \int_0^1 \beta(t, s) \sigma_{k-1}^2(s) \varepsilon_{k-1}^2(s) ds \]
Bounds on Eigenfunctions and Eigenvalues

Theorem:

\[ NE\|\hat{C} - C\|^2_\mathcal{S} \leq U_X, \]

where

\[ U_X = \nu_4^4(X) + 4\sqrt{2} \nu_4^3(X) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \nu_4(X_r - X_r^{(r)}). \]

Corollary:

\[ NE\left[ |\lambda_j - \hat{\lambda}_j|^2 \right] \leq U_X. \]

Set \( \hat{c}_j = \text{sign}(\langle \hat{v}_j, v_j \rangle) \)

\[ NE \left[ \|\hat{c}_j\hat{v}_j - v_j\|^2 \right] \leq \frac{8U_X}{\alpha_j^2}, \]

where \( \alpha_1 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \) and

\[ \alpha_j = \min(\lambda_{j-1} - \lambda_j, \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1}), \quad 2 \leq j \leq d. \]
Long–run variance

introduction: scalar case.

\[ N \text{Var}[\bar{X}_N] \to \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_j, \quad \gamma_j = \text{Cov}(X_0, X_j) \]

If \( \{X_n\} \) is \( L^2-m \)–approximable, then \( \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma_j| < \infty \)

Proof:

\( \text{Cov}(X_0, X_j) = \text{Cov}(X_0, X_j-X_j^{(j)}) + \text{Cov}(X_0, X_j^{(j)}) \).

\( X_0 = f(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_{-1}, \ldots), \quad X_j^{(j)} = f^{(j)}(\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_{j-1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_1) \),

are independent

\[ |\gamma_j| \leq [E X_0^2]^{1/2}[E(X_j - X_j^{(j)})^2]^{1/2}. \]
Kernel estimator:

\[ \hat{\sigma} = \sum_{|j| \leq q} \omega_q(j) \gamma_j, \]

Consistency requires cumulant conditions:

\[ \sup_h \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \kappa(h, r, s) \right| < \infty. \]

\[ \kappa(h, r, s) = E[(X_0 - \mu)(X_h - \mu)(X_r - \mu)(X_s - \mu)] \]

\[ - (\gamma_h \gamma_{r-s} + \gamma_r \gamma_{h-s} + \gamma_r \gamma_{h-r}), \]

For an \( L^4-m \)-approximable sequence

\[ \sup_{k,l \geq 0} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left| \text{Cov} \left( X_0 (X_k - X^{(k)}_k), X^{(r)}_r X^{(r+\ell)}_{r+\ell} \right) \right| < \infty. \]

\[ X_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j \varepsilon_{k-j}; \quad X^{(k)}_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} c_j \varepsilon_{k-j} \]

\( X_0 (X_k - X^{(k)}_k) \) depends on \( \{\varepsilon_j, j \leq 0\} \)

\( X^{(r)}_r X^{(r+\ell)}_{r+\ell} \) depends on \( \{\varepsilon_j, 1 \leq j \leq r + \ell\} \). Condition holds trivially

Approximability: \( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} |c_j| < \infty \)
Long–run variance for functional time series

Project functions on principal components

The resulting sequence of vectors has a long run covariance matrix

It inherits $L^4$–$m$–approximability

kernel estimator is consistent under the condition

$$N^{-1} \sum_{k,l=0}^{q(N)} \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} \left| \text{Cov}\left(X_{i0}(X_{jk} - X_{jk}^{(k)}), X_{ir}^{(r)} X_{j,r+\ell}^{(r+\ell)}\right) \right| \to 0.$$ 

$X_{jk}$ – projection of $k$th observation on $j$th principal component
Change point detection

$H_0:\quad X_i(t) = \mu(t) + Y_i(t), \quad EY_i(t) = 0.$

$H_A:\quad X_i(t) = \begin{cases} \mu_1(t) + Y_i(t), & 1 \leq i \leq k^*, \\ \mu_2(t) + Y_i(t), & k^* < i \leq N, \end{cases}$

Test statistic is based on

$$\int \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} X_i(t) - \frac{k}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} X_i(t) \right\} \tilde{v}_\ell(t) dt$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \tilde{\eta}_{\ell i} - \frac{k}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} \tilde{\eta}_{\ell i}.$$

For functional time series it is different than for iid data (long–run variance).

Required asymptotic properties can be established under $L^4_{-m}$–approximability.
Functional linear model with dependent regressors

\[ Y_n(t) = \int \psi(t, s) X_n(s) + \varepsilon_n. \]

Idea of Yao, Müller, Wang:

\[ X(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i v_i(s), \quad Y(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \zeta_j u_j(t), \]

\[ \psi(t, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{E[\xi_{\ell} \zeta_k]}{E[\xi_{\ell}^2]} u_k(t) v_\ell(s). \]

\[ \hat{\psi}_{KL}(t, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \hat{\lambda}_{\ell}^{-1} \hat{\sigma}_{\ell k} \hat{u}_k(t) \hat{v}_\ell(s), \]

YMW focus on smoothing, assumptions (2+ pages) deal mostly with smoothing parameters, 
\( K \) and \( L \) depend on the smoothing bandwidths, integrals of FT of kernels, and the rate of decay of the eigenfunctions.
Examples of Magnetometer data

Horizontal intensities of the magnetic field measured at a high-, mid- and low-latitude stations during a sub-storm (left column) and a quiet day (right column). Note the different vertical scales for high-latitude records.
Functional predictor-response plots of FPC scores of response functions versus FPC of explanatory functions for magnetometer data (CMO vs THY0)
For functional time series data, the focus is on dependence.

We assume that the $X_n$ are $L^4$–m–approximable.

$\lambda_j, \gamma_j$ eigenvalues corresponding to $v_j u_j$.

Recall

$$\alpha_j = \min(\lambda_{j-1} - \lambda_j, \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1}), \ 2 \leq j \leq d.$$ 

Define $\alpha'_j$ correspondingly for $\gamma_j$.

Set $g_L = \min\{\lambda_j | 1 \leq j \leq L\}$,

$h_L = \min\{\alpha_j \land \alpha'_j | 1 \leq j \leq L\}$.

Assumption for consistency:

$$L = o(N^{1/12}(gLh_L)^{1/2}).$$

The same for $K$. 